

DTP-98-17
 PM/98-09
 February 1998

On the Null-Vectors in the Spectra of the 2D Integrable Hierarchies ^{*}

D. Fioravanti ^a and M. Stanishkov ^{b †}

^a*Department of Mathematical Sciences - Univ. of Durham
 South Road, DH1 3LE DURHAM, England*

^b*Laboratoire de Physique Mathématique - Univ. de Montpellier II
 Pl. E. Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier, France*

Abstract

We propose an alternative description of the spectrum of local fields in the classical limit of the integrable quantum field theories. It is close to similar constructions used in the geometrical treatment of $\mathcal{W}$ -gravities [17]. Our approach provides a systematic way of deriving the null-vectors that appear in this construction. We present explicit results for the case of the $A_1^{(1)}$ -(m)KdV and the $A_2^{(2)}$ -(m)KdV hierarchies, different classical limits of 2D CFT's. In the former case our results coincide with the classical limit of the construction [3]. Some hints about quantization and off-critical treatment are also given.

^{*}Work supported by European Union under contract FMRX-CT96-0012

[†]On leave from I.N.R.N.E. - Sofia, Bulgaria

E-mail: Davide.Fioravanti@durham.ac.uk, marian@lpm.univ-montp2.fr

1 Introduction

One of the most important open problems in the two dimensional integrable quantum field theories (**2D-IQFT's**) is the construction of the spectrum of the local fields and consequently the computation of their correlation functions. These problems are somewhat connected to one another within the simplest integrable theories – **2D-CFT's** [1] – which have a covariance under the infinite dimensional Virasoro symmetry as their common crucial property . In fact the Verma modules of this algebra classify all the local fields in 2D-CFT's and turn out to be reducible owing to the occurrence of vectors of null hermitian product with all other vectors, the so called null-vectors. Factorization by the modules generated over the null-vectors leads to a number of very interesting algebraic-geometrical properties such as fusion algebras, differential equations for correlation function, etc. .

Unfortunately this beautiful picture collapses when one pushes the system away from criticality by perturbing the original CFT with some relevant local field Φ :

$$S = S_{CFT} + \mu \int d^2 z \Phi(z, \bar{z}). \quad (1)$$

Of the infinite dimensional Virasoro symmetry only the Poincaré subalgebra survives the perturbation. Actually, the CFT possesses a bigger $\mathcal{W}$ -like symmetry and in particular it is invariant under an infinite dimensional abelian subalgebra of the latter [2]. With suitable deformations, this abelian subalgebra survives the perturbation (1), resulting in the so-called local integrals of motion (**LIM**). Being abelian, this symmetry does not carry sufficient information, and in particular one cannot build the spectrum of a theory of type (1) by means of **LIM** alone.

It was conjectured in [3] that one could add to **LIM** I_{2m+1} additional charges J_{2m} in such a way that the resulting algebra would be sufficient to create all the states of the perturbed theory (1). Therein it was also discovered that a sort of null-vector condition appears in the above procedure leading, as in CFT's, to certain equations for the form factors. However, what remains unclear in [3] is the *general procedure* of finding the null-vectors, and in particular the *symmetry structures* lying behind them. Besides, heavy use is made of the very specific form of the form factors of the RSG model, and it is not clear how to extend this procedure to other integrable theories .

Another possible treatment of the spectrum of CFT's is connected to the so called spinon fields, essentially the chiral vertex operators. It was shown in the context of Wess-Zumino-Witten models that this approach gives an appropriate basis for the representations of the corresponding Yangian symmetry. It is very likely that all this will prove useful in other CFT's as well and will possibly be extendible outside the critical point, thus providing a connection with quantum groups and Yangian symmetries of integrable theories of type (1). Indeed, as we shall see below, the classical limit of the elementary vertex operators appears in the regular expansion of our basic object, the transfer matrix $T(x; \lambda)$.

In this article we propose a systematic treatment of the above problems which is essentially a variation of the Inverse Scattering Method (**ISM**) [4]. The central idea is to base the whole construction on the so-called Dressing Symmetry Transformations [5] connecting these to the usual way of finding integrable systems [6]. In fact, our basic objects will be the transfer matrix $T(x; \lambda)$, which generates the dressing, and the resolvents $Z^X(x; \lambda)$, the dressed generators of the underlying algebra. In this article we are mainly concerned with the semiclassical limit of CFT's [9, 7, 8], namely the $A_1^{(1)}$ -KdV and the $A_2^{(2)}$ -KdV systems [6]. In compliance with the basic work [7], one quantizes the corresponding mKdV system by replacing the Kac-Moody algebra with the corresponding deformed algebra $U_q(A_1^{(1)})$ or $U_q(A_2^{(2)})$ and the mKdV field ϕ with the Feigin-Fuchs free field [10]. As explained in [8], the importance of considering also the $A_2^{(2)}$ -mKdV hierarchies is due to the fact that the quantization of this second semiclassical system exhausts the integrability directions of theories of type (1), starting from minimal models.

Besides, we assume the monodromy matrix for the perturbed theory (1), according to [11], to be

$$\mathbf{T}(x, \bar{x}; \lambda; \mu) = \bar{T}(\bar{x}; \mu/\lambda)T(x; \lambda), \quad (2)$$

where the precise definition of the anti-chiral monodromy matrix $\bar{T}(\bar{x}; \lambda)$ will be given by formula (64). In the sequel we shall only mention the off-criticality construction briefly, setting the topic aside for future publications [12, 13]

2 The $A_1^{(1)}$ -mKdV: the regular expansion of the transfer matrix

As already observed in [9], the classical limit ($c \rightarrow -\infty$) of CFT's is described by the second Hamiltonian structure of the (usual) KdV which corresponds to $A_1^{(1)}$ in the Drinfeld-Sokolov scheme [6]. The KdV variable $u(x, t)$ is related to the mKdV variable $v(x, t)$ by the Miura transformation [14] $u = -v^2 + v'$, which is the classical counterpart of the Feigin-Fuchs transformation [10]. In fact the mKdV equation is

$$\partial_t v = -\frac{3}{2}v^2 v' - \frac{1}{4}v'''. \quad (3)$$

and the mKdV field $v = -\phi'$ is the derivative of the Darboux field ϕ . The equation (3) can be re-written [15] as a null curvature condition $[\partial_t - A_t, \partial_x - A_x] = 0$ for connections belonging to the $A_1^{(1)}$ loop algebra

$$\begin{aligned} A_x &= -vh + (e_0 + e_1), \\ A_t &= \lambda^2(e_0 + e_1 - vh) - \frac{1}{2}[(v^2 - v')e_0 + (v^2 + v')e_1] - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{v''}{2} - v^3\right)h \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the generators e_0, e_1, h are chosen in the fundamental representation and canonical gradation of the $A_1^{(1)}$ loop algebra

$$e_0 = \lambda E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_1 = \lambda F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h = H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

A remarkable geometrical interest is obviously attached to the transfer matrix which performs the parallel transport along the x -axis, and is thus the solution of the associated linear problem

$$\partial_x T(x; \lambda) = A_x(x; \lambda) T(x; \lambda). \quad (6)$$

The formal solution of the previous equation is given by

$$T(x, \lambda) = e^{H\phi(x)} \mathcal{P} \exp \left(\lambda \int_0^x dy (e^{-2\phi(y)} E + e^{2\phi(y)} F) \right) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where the expansions of the entries are

$$\begin{aligned} A(x; \lambda) &= e^{\phi(x)} + O(\lambda^2), & B(x; \lambda) &= \lambda e^{\phi(x)} \int_0^x dy e^{-2\phi(y)} + O(\lambda^3), \\ C(x; \lambda) &= A(-\phi(x)), & D(x; \lambda) &= B(-\phi(x)). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that the first terms of the expansion (8) are exactly the classical limits of the two *elementary* vertex operators [16]. Besides, the expression (7) defines $T(x, \lambda)$ as an entire function of λ with an essential singularity at $\lambda = \infty$ where it is governed by the corresponding asymptotic expansion. The two expansions give rise to different algebraic and geometric structures, as we shall see below. The regular expansion is typically employed in the derivation of Poisson-Lie structures for Dressing Symmetries [5]. The second type of expansion plays instead a crucial role in obtaining the local integrals of motion [4, 6]. In this article we shall only give an overview of how the aforementioned approaches merge actually into a single procedure which, however, produces two different kinds of symmetries [12].

In our case the formal solution (7) can be expressed as an expansion in positive powers of λ with an infinite radius of convergence and non-local coefficients:

$$T(x; \lambda) = e^{H\phi(x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \int_{x \geq x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_k \geq 0} K(x_1) K(x_2) \dots K(x_k) dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_k \quad (9)$$

where $K(x) = e^{-2\phi(x)} E + e^{2\phi(x)} F$. After calculating the expression (9) for $x = L$ and taking the trace, we obtain the regular expansion for $\tau(\lambda) = \text{tr} T(L; \lambda)$ in terms of the non-local conserved charges in involution. However, one may obtain a larger number of non-local conserved charges not in involution, i.e. so that the charges commute with local hamiltonian of the mKdV (3) but not between themselves. This can be carried out by means of the dressing techniques in the following way. Let $X = H, E, F$ be

one of the generators (5). By assuming the regular expansion (9), let us construct the generic resolvent by dressing

$$Z^X(x, \lambda) = (TXT^{-1})(x, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k Z_k^X. \quad (10)$$

$Z^X(x, \lambda)$ is clearly a resolvent for the operator $\mathcal{L} = \partial_x - A_x$ (4) since by construction it satisfies

$$[\mathcal{L}, Z^X(x; \lambda)] = 0. \quad (11)$$

The foregoing property of the resolvent justifies the conclusion that, once the quantity is determined

$$\Theta_n^X(x; \lambda) = (\lambda^{-n} Z^X(x; \lambda))_- = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda^{k-n} Z_k^X, \quad (12)$$

the commutator $[\mathcal{L}, \Theta_n^X(x; \lambda)]$ is of degree zero in λ . Therefore it is possible to construct the gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n^X A_x &= -\delta_n^X \mathcal{L} = -[\Theta_n^X(x; \lambda), \mathcal{L}], \\ \delta_n^X A_t &= -[\Theta_n^X(x; \lambda), \partial_t - A_t], \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

which preserves the zero curvature condition by construction. It will also be a true symmetry of the model in case the last term in (13) is proportional to H :

$$\delta_n^X A_x = H \delta_n^X \phi'. \quad (14)$$

This depends, for X fixed, on whether n is even or odd. Indeed, by directly substituting the regular expansion (9) in (10), one can obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{2m}^H(x) &= a_{2m}^H(x)H & , & & Z_{2m+1}^H(x) &= b_{2m+1}^H(x)E + c_{2m+1}^H(x)F \\ Z_{2n}^E(x) &= b_{2n}^E(x)E + c_{2n}^E(x)F & , & & Z_{2n+1}^E(x) &= a_{2n+1}^E(x)H \\ Z_{2p}^F(x) &= b_{2p}^F(x)E + c_{2p}^F(x)F & , & & Z_{2p+1}^F(x) &= a_{2p+1}^F(x)H. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The variation (13) can be explicitly calculated as

$$\delta_n^X A_x = [Z_{n-1}^X, E + F] \quad (16)$$

and hence it is clear that Z_{n-1}^X cannot contain any term proportional to H . The conclusions are that

- in the Θ_n^H case n , in (13), must be even,
- in the Θ_n^E and Θ_n^F case n must conversely be odd.

Besides, it is possible to show by direct calculation that these infinitesimal transformation generators form a representation of a (twisted) Borel subalgebra $A_1 \otimes \mathbf{C}$, (of the loop algebra $A_1^{(1)}$)

$$[\delta_m^X, \delta_n^Y] = \delta_{m+n}^{[X,Y]} \quad ; \quad X, Y = H, E, F. \quad (17)$$

The first generators of this algebra are explicitly given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1^E \phi'(x) &= e^{2\phi(x)} \\ \delta_1^F \phi'(x) &= -e^{-2\phi(x)} \\ \delta_2^H \phi'(x) &= e^{2\phi(x)} \int_0^x dy e^{-2\phi(y)} + e^{-2\phi(x)} \int_0^x dy e^{2\phi(y)} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and the rest are derived from these by commutation. The Nöther currents $J_{t,n}^X$, originating from this symmetry algebra, can easily be found by applying the transformations (16) to both members of the continuity equation (3). To the $J_{t,n}^X$ correspond the non-local charges

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{2m+2}^H &= \int_0^L J_{t,2m+2}^H = a_{2m+2}^H(L) \\ Q_{2n+1}^E &= \int_0^L J_{t,2n+1}^E = a_{2n+1}^E(L) \\ Q_{2p+1}^F &= \int_0^L J_{t,2p+1}^F = a_{2p+1}^F(L) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which are not necessarily conserved (depending on the boundary conditions), due to non-locality. Note that the action by which these charges generate the transformations (16),(18) is not always symplectic. Indeed, the following Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1^E v &= \{Q_1^E, v\} \quad , \quad \delta_1^F v = \{Q_1^F, v\} \\ \delta_2^H v &= \{Q_2^H, v\} + Q_1^E \{Q_1^F, v\} - Q_1^F \{Q_1^E, v\} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

denote how in the first case the action is symplectic, while in the second it is of Poisson-Lie type [5]. It is possible to verify by explicit calculation that the charges themselves close a (twisted) Borel subalgebra $A_1 \otimes \mathbf{C}$, (of the loop algebra $A_1^{(1)}$).

3 Asymptotic expansion of the transfer matrix

Around the point $\lambda = \infty$ the system is governed by the asymptotic expansion. It can be obtained through the procedure described in [4, 6]. The main idea is to apply a gauge transformation $S(x)$ on the Lax operator $\mathcal{L}$ in such a way that its connection lie in the corresponding Cartan subalgebra:

$$(\partial_x - A_x(x))S(x) = S(x)(\partial_x + D(x)) \quad (21)$$

where $D(x; \lambda) = d(x; \lambda)H$. Because of the previous equation $T(x; \lambda)$ has the form

$$T(x; \lambda) = KG(x; \lambda)e^{-\int_0^x dy D(y)} \quad (22)$$

where we put $S = KG$ with

$$K = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

while G verifies the following equation (of Riccati type for the off-diagonal part of G)

$$\partial_x G + \tilde{A}_x G = GD \quad , \quad \tilde{A}_x = K^{-1} A_x K. \quad (24)$$

It is clear now that the previous equation can be solved by finding the asymptotic expansion for $d(x; \lambda)$ and expressing the asymptotic expansion of $G(x; \lambda)$ in terms of off-diagonal matrices

$$d(x; \lambda) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} d_k(x) \quad , \quad G(x; \lambda) = \mathbf{1} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-j} G_j(x) \quad (25)$$

where the $G_j(x)$ matrices are off-diagonal with entries $(G_j(x))_{12} = g_j(x)$ and $(G_j(x))_{21} = (-1)^{j+1} g_j(x)$. The explicit recurrence formulas for the $g_j(x)$ and the $d_k(x)$ are:

$$g_{j+1} = \frac{1}{2}(g'_j + v \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} g_{i-k} g_j) \\ d_{-1} = -1, d_0 = 0; \quad j > 0, d_j = (-1)^{j+1} v g_j \quad (26)$$

Note that the $d_{2n}(x)$ are exactly the charge densities (of the mKdV equation) resulting from the asymptotic expansion of $\tau(\lambda) = \text{tr} T(\lambda)$.

It is likewise known [6] that the construction of the mKdV flows goes through the definition of a resolvent $Z(x; \lambda)$ defined through the following property of its asymptotic expansion

$$[\mathcal{L}, Z(x; \lambda)] = 0, \quad Z(x, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} Z_k, \quad Z_0 = E + F. \quad (27)$$

From the definition (27) it is possible to derive how the resolvent Z is obtained by *dressing* from the asymptotic expansion of T (22),(25),(26).

$$Z(x, \lambda) = (THT^{-1})(x, \lambda); \quad (28)$$

or for the modes of the λ -expansion

$$Z_{2k}(x) = b_{2k}(x)E + c_{2k}(x)F \quad , \quad Z_{2k+1}(x) = a_{2k+1}(x)H, \quad (29)$$

where for example

$$a_1 = -v \quad , \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{4}v^3 - \frac{1}{8}v'' \\ b_2 = \frac{1}{4}v^2 + \frac{1}{4}v' \quad , \quad b_4 = -\frac{3}{16}v^4 + \frac{1}{8}v''v - \frac{1}{16}v'^2 - \frac{3}{8}v'v^2 + \frac{1}{16}v''', \text{ etc..} \quad (30)$$

Note that the b_{2k} are exactly the charge densities of the KdV equation (and are thus expressible in terms of the $u(x)$ field only). They differ by a total derivative from the $d_{2k}(x)$. The a_{2k+1} instead are not expressible in terms of $u(x)$ alone, and have to be obtained from $a_1 = -v$. As in the regular case, the system enjoys a gauge symmetry of the form (13) with the constraint (14). It turns out that this gauge transformation coincides with the commuting mKdV flows:

$$\delta_{2k+1} A_x = -[\theta_{2k+1}(x; \lambda), \mathcal{L}], \quad (31)$$

where the θ_{2k+1} are the Lax connections associated to A_x

$$\theta_{2k+1}(x; \lambda) = (\lambda^{2k+1} Z(x; \lambda))_+ = \sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \lambda^{2k+1-j} Z_j(x). \quad (32)$$

Let us set aside for [12] the construction of the flows deriving from $Z^E = TET^{-1}$ and $Z^F = TFT^{-1}$ and no more commuting with the δ_{2k+1} of the hierarchy, but rather closing with them a spectrum generating algebra, and let us concentrate our attention on the phase spaces of mKdV and KdV systems, i.e. those objects which at the quantum level constitute the spectrum of local fields.

Our approach to the spectrum of local fields is close to similar constructions used in the geometrical treatment of $\mathcal{W}$ -gravities [17]. It was proposed there to start from the corresponding Frenet equations and to treat the entries of the resulting gauge connection as independent variables. Similarly, we propose here to treat the components of Z as fundamental fields. Unlike the case [17], infinitely many of them appear here because in this case the Lax connections belong to the $A_1^{(1)}$ loop algebra.

Let us start by considering the a_{2n+1} , b_{2n} , and c_{2n} fields of (29). The differential equation (27) tells us that not all of them are independent. We may for example express the c_{2n} in terms of the *basic* fields b_{2n} and a_{2n+1} . Thus, for the mKdV hierarchy the Verma module $\mathcal{V}_0^{mKdV}$ of the identity will be freely generated by the repeated action of the δ_{2k+1} on the polynomials in the b_{2n} and the a_{2k+1}

$$\mathcal{V}_0^{mKdV} = \{\delta_{2k_1+1} \delta_{2k_2+1} \dots \delta_{2k_M+1} \mathcal{P}(b_2, b_4, \dots, b_{2N}, a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{2P+1})\}. \quad (33)$$

But not all of these fields are independent yet. Instead, it happens that they obey a number of additional constraints. As a consequence some of their linear combinations are zero, giving a sort of "classical null-vectors". The first constraint

$$Z^2 = \mathbf{1} \quad i.e. \quad \sum_{i=0}^n b_{2n-2i} (b_{2i} + a'_{2i-1}) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{2n-2i-1} a_{2i+1} = 0 \quad (34)$$

originates from the dressing relation with the transfer matrix T (28). The second one is just *the equation of motion* of Z [6]

$$\delta_{2k+1} Z = [\theta_{2k+1}, Z] \quad (35)$$

(which may easily be deduced from (27)) which allows us to establish the action of the δ_{2k+1} on these fields

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{2k+1}a_{2n+1} &= \sum_{i=0}^n (a'_{2n+2k-2i+1}b_{2i} - a'_{2i-1}b_{2n+2k-2i+2}), \\ \delta_{2k+1}b_{2n} &= 2 \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (a_{2n+2k+1}b_{2n+2k-2i} - a_{2n+2k-2i+1}b_{2i})\end{aligned}\quad (36)$$

Actually, the whole set of all the null vectors $\mathcal{N}_0^{mKdV}$ is obtained by the successive application of (34),(35) and their linear combinations. In conclusion, the family of the identity $[\mathbf{0}]^{mKdV}$ of the mKdV hierarchy is obtained as a factor space:

$$[\mathbf{0}]^{mKdV} = \mathcal{V}_0^{mKdV} / \mathcal{N}_0^{mKdV}. \quad (37)$$

On the other hand we can make two observations:

1. the relation (34) can be re-written in terms of the b_{2k} alone

$$b_{2n} + \sum_{i=1}^n [b_{2n-2i}b_{2i} - 2b_2b_{2n-2i}b_{2i-2} - \frac{1}{2}b_{2n-2i}b'_{2j-2} + \frac{1}{4}b'_{2n-2j}b'_{2j-2}] = 0; \quad (38)$$

2. also the variation of b_{2n} in (36) can be written in terms of the b_{2k} alone, using the relationships (27) between a_{2k+1} and b_{2k}

$$\delta_{2k+1}b_{2n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (b'_{2n+2k-2i}b_{2i} - b'_{2i}b_{2n+2k-2i}). \quad (39)$$

Therefore for the KdV equation the Verma module $\mathcal{V}_0^{KdV}$ of the identity will be freely generated by the repeated action of the δ_{2k+1}^H on the polynomials only in the b_{2n} :

$$\mathcal{V}_0^{KdV} = \{\delta_{2k_1+1}^H \delta_{2k_2+1}^H \dots \delta_{2k_M+1}^H \mathcal{P}(b_2b_4 \dots b_{2N})\}. \quad (40)$$

It turns out to be a sort of *reduction* of the Verma module $\mathcal{V}_0^{mKdV}$ (33) of the mKdV hierarchy. The whole set of null vectors $\mathcal{N}_0^{KdV}$ is given by successive applications of (39) and (38). As for the mKdV case the (*conformal*) family of the identity $[\mathbf{0}]^{KdV}$ of the KdV hierarchy is obtained as a factor space of $\mathcal{V}_0^{KdV}$:

$$[\mathbf{0}]^{KdV} = \mathcal{V}_0^{KdV} / \mathcal{N}_0^{KdV}. \quad (41)$$

Therefore we are led to the same scenario which arise also in the classical limit of the construction [3]. Nevertheless, in our approach the generation of null-vectors is automatic (see equations (39) and (38)). In addition , our approach is applicable to any other integrable system, based on a Lax pair formulation. We shall demonstrate this below by using the example of the $A_2^{(2)}$ -mKdV system.

Other local fields of the mKdV system are the primary fields, i.e. the exponentials $e^{m\phi}$, $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ of the bosonic field. Indeed, for $m = 0$ we obtain just the identity **1**, the elementary primary field e^ϕ ($m=1$) appears in the regular expansion (8) of the transfer matrix $T(x; \lambda)$ and the other primary field $e^{m\phi}$, $m > 1$ are the ingredients of regular expansion of the power $T^m(x; \lambda)$. The previous construction of the identity operator family suggests the following form for the Verma module $\mathcal{V}_m^{KdV}$ of the primary $e^{m\phi}$, $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$:

$$\mathcal{V}_m^{KdV} = \{\delta_{2k_1+1}\delta_{2k_2+1}\dots\delta_{2k_M+1}[\mathcal{P}(b_2, b_4, \dots, b_{2N})e^{m\phi}]\}. \quad (42)$$

As for the identity family, we have to subtract all the null-vectors (39) and (38). Besides, in this case, we have to take into account the null-vectors coming from the equations of motion of the power $T^m(x; \lambda)$

$$\delta_{2k+1}T^m = \sum_{j=1}^m T^j \theta_{2k+1} T^{m-j}. \quad (43)$$

By successive applications of (39), (38) and (43) we obtain the whole null-vector set $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{m}}^{KdV}$. In conclusion the spectrum is again the factor space

$$[\mathbf{m}] = \mathcal{V}_m^{KdV} / \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{m}}^{KdV}. \quad (44)$$

4 The $A_2^{(2)}$ -KdV.

Let us show that our approach is easily applicable to other integrable systems. Here we consider the case of the $A_2^{(2)}$ -KdV equation. The reason is that it can be considered as a different classical limit of the **CFT's** [8]. Consider the matrix representation of the $A_2^{(2)}$ -KdV equation:

$$\partial_t \mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{L}, A_t] \quad (45)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_x - A_x \quad , \quad A_x = \phi' h + (e_0 + e_1), \quad u(x) = -\phi'(x)^2 - \phi''(x) \quad (46)$$

and

$$e_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (47)$$

are the generators of the Borel subalgebra of $A_2^{(2)}$ and A_t is a certain connection that can be found for exemple in [6]. As shown before, central role is played by the transfer matrix, wich is a solution of the associated linear problem $(\partial_x - A_x(x; \lambda))T(x; \lambda) = 0$. The formal solution is in this case

$$T(x, \lambda) = e^{h\phi(x)} \mathcal{P} \exp \left(\int_0^x dy (e^{-2\phi(y)} e_0 + e^{\phi(y)} e_1) \right). \quad (48)$$

The equation (48) defines T as an entire function of λ with an essential singularity at $\lambda = \infty$. In this article we are concerned only with the corresponding asymptotic expansion leaving the general treatment of the problem to a further publication [13]. As for the $A_1^{(1)}$ case, this asymptotic expansion is easily written by following the general procedure [6]. The result is:

$$T(x; \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h_1^+ & h_2^+ \\ 0 & 1 + h_3^0 & h_1^0 \\ 0 & h_2^- & 1 + h_3^- \end{pmatrix} \exp \left(- \int_0^x \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i \Lambda^{-i} \right). \quad (49)$$

where $h_i^{\pm}, h_i^0$ are certain polynomials in ϕ' , f_{6k}, f_{6k+2} are the densities of the local conserved charges of the $A_2^{(2)}$ -KdV and $\Lambda = e_0 + e_1$. Complying with our approach let us introduce the resolvents

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= T \Lambda T^{-1}; \\ Z_2 &= T \Lambda^2 T^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

satisfying as before the equations $[\partial_x - A_x, Z_i(x; \lambda)] = 0$, $i = 1, 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1}a_1^{(1)} + \lambda^{-4}a_4^{(1)} + \dots & \lambda^{-2}b_2^{(1)} + \lambda^{-5}b_5^{(1)} + \dots & 1 + \lambda^{-6}b_6^{(1)} + \dots \\ 1 + \lambda^{-3}c_3^{(1)} + \dots & -2\lambda^{-4}a_4^{(1)} + \dots & \lambda^{-2}b_2^{(1)} - \lambda^{-5}b_5^{(1)} + \dots \\ \lambda^{-2}c_2^{(1)} + \dots & 1 - \lambda^{-3}c_3^{(1)} + \lambda^{-6}c_6^{(1)} + \dots & -\lambda^{-1}a_1^{(1)} + \lambda^{-4}a_4^{(1)} + \dots \end{pmatrix}, \\ Z_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-2}a_2^{(2)} + \lambda^{-5}a_5^{(2)} + \dots & 1 + \lambda^{-3}b_3^{(2)} + \lambda^{-6}b_6^{(2)} + \dots & \lambda^{-4}b_4^{(2)} + \dots \\ \lambda^{-1}c_1^{(2)} + \lambda^{-4}c_4^{(2)} + \dots & -2\lambda^{-2}a_2^{(2)} + \dots & 1 - \lambda^{-3}b_3^{(2)} - \lambda^{-6}b_6^{(2)} + \dots \\ 1 + \lambda^{-6}c_6^{(2)} + \dots & -\lambda^{-1}c_1^{(2)} + \lambda^{-4}c_4^{(2)} + \dots & \lambda^{-2}a_2^{(2)} - \lambda^{-5}a_5^{(2)} + \dots \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For example, some expressions for the fields in the entries of $Z_i, i = 1, 2$, as a function of v and its derivative, are:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{(1)} &= -v; \\ b_2^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{3}v^2 + \frac{1}{3}v', & c_2^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{3}v^2 - \frac{2}{3}v'; \\ c_3^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{3}v^3 + \frac{1}{3}vv' - \frac{1}{3}v'', & b_3^{(2)} &= -\frac{2}{3}vv' + \frac{1}{3}v''; \\ b_4^{(2)} &= -\frac{1}{9}v^4 + \frac{1}{9}v'^2 - \frac{2}{9}vv'' + \frac{2}{9}v'v^2 - \frac{1}{9}v''', \text{ etc..} \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

The equation (45) is invariant under a gauge transformation of the form (13). This latter will be a true symmetry provided the variation is proportional to h : $\delta A_x = h \delta \phi'$. We construct the appropriate gauge parameters by means of the resolvents (50) in a way similar to what we did in the $A_1^{(1)}$ -KdV case:

$$\theta_{6k+1}(x; \lambda) = (\lambda^{6k+1} Z_1(x; \lambda))_+, \quad \theta_{6k-1}(x; \lambda) = (\lambda^{6k-1} Z_2(x; \lambda))_+ \quad (52)$$

which results in the following transformations for the $A_2^{(2)}$ -mKdV field

$$\delta_{6k+1}\phi' = \partial_x a_{6k+1}^{(1)} \quad , \quad \delta_{6k-1}\phi' = \partial_x a_{6k-1}^{(2)}. \quad (53)$$

One can easily recognize in (53) the infinite tower of the commuting $A_2^{(2)}$ -mKdV flows.

Now, in accordance with our geometrical conjecture, we would like to treat the entries of the transfer matrix T and of the resolvents $Z_i, i = 1, 2$ as independent fields and to build the spectrum of the local fields of $A_2^{(2)}$ -KdV by means of them alone. As in the $A_1^{(1)}$ case, it turns out that not all of them are independent. If the defining relations of the resolvents are used, it is easy to see, that the entries of the lower triangle of both Z_i can be expressed in terms of the rest. Therefore, taking also into account the gauge symmetry of the system, one is led to the following proposal about the construction of the Verma module of the identity:

$$\mathcal{V}_0^{mKdV} = \{\delta_{6k_1+1} \dots \delta_{6k_M+1} \delta_{6l_1-1} \dots \delta_{6l_N-1} \mathcal{P}(b_i^{(1)}, b_j^{(2)}, a_k^{(1)}, a_l^{(2)})\}. \quad (54)$$

Again, null-vectors appear in the r.h.s. of the (54) due to the constraints:

$$Z_1^2 = Z_2 \quad , \quad Z_1 Z_2 = \mathbf{1} \quad (55)$$

and the equations of motion

$$\delta_{6k\pm 1} Z_i = [\theta_{6k\pm 1}, Z_i] \quad , \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (56)$$

One can further realize that just as in the $A_1^{(1)}$ case, there is a subalgebra consisting of the upper triangular entries of $Z_i, i = 1, 2$, closed under the action of the gauge transformations $\delta_{6k\pm 1}$. The constraints (55) and (56) are consistent with such reduction giving a closed subalgebra of null vectors. The first non-trivial examples are :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{level3} & : \quad b_3^{(2)} - \partial_x b_2^{(1)} = 0; \\ \text{level4} & : \quad b_4^{(2)} - (b_2^{(1)})^2 + \frac{2}{3} \partial_x b_3^{(2)} = 0; \\ \text{level6} & : \quad b_6^{(1)} - 2b_6^{(2)} + 2b_2^{(1)} b_4^{(2)} + (b_3^{(2)})^2 = 0; \\ & 2 \quad b_6^{(1)} - b_6^{(2)} + 2\partial_x b_5^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2 b_4^{(2)} + b_2^{(1)} b_4^{(2)} - (b_2^{(1)})^3 + (b_3^{(2)})^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Therefore, in order to obtain the true spectrum of the family of the identity (i.e. the $A_2^{(2)}$ -KdV spectrum), one has to factor out, from the freely generated Verma module

$$\mathcal{V}_0^{KdV} = \{\delta_{6k_1+1} \dots \delta_{6k_M+1} \delta_{6l_1-1} \dots \delta_{6l_N-1} \mathcal{P}(b_i^{(1)}, b_j^{(2)})\}, \quad (58)$$

the whole set of the null-vectors $\mathcal{N}_0^{KdV}$, i.e.

$$[\mathbf{0}] = \mathcal{V}_0^{KdV} / \mathcal{N}_0^{KdV}. \quad (59)$$

Let us turn to the classical limit of the primary fields $e^{m\phi}$, $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$. By virtue of the above reasoning we conjecture for their Verma modules the expression

$$\mathcal{V}_0^{mKdV} = \{\delta_{6k_1+1} \dots \delta_{6k_M+1} \delta_{6l_1-1} \dots \delta_{6l_N-1} [\mathcal{P}(b_i^{(1)}, b_j^{(2)}) e^{m\phi}]\}. \quad (60)$$

Again, we have to add to the null-vectors coming from (55) and (56) the new ones coming from (repeated) application of the equations of motion of the power $T^m(x; \lambda)$

$$\delta_{6k\pm 1} T^m = \sum_{j=1}^m T^j \theta_{6k\pm 1} T^{m-j} \quad (61)$$

obtaining the whole set of null-vectors $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{m}}^{KdV}$. The first non-trivial examples of these additional null-vectors are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{level } 2 : \quad & (\partial_x^2 + 3b_2^{(1)})e^\phi = 0 \\ \text{level } 3 : \quad & (\partial_x^3 - 6b_3^{(2)} + 12\partial_x b_2^{(1)})e^{2\phi} = 0 \\ \text{level } 4 : \quad & (\partial_x^4 + \frac{135}{2}(b_2^{(1)})^2 + 30\partial_x^2 b_2^{(1)} - \frac{27}{2}b_4^{(2)} - 30\partial_x b_3^{(2)})e^{3\phi} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

where the operator ∂_x acts on all the fields to its right. As a result, the (*conformal*) family of the primary field $e^{m\phi}$, $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ is conjectured to be in this case

$$[\mathbf{m}] = \mathcal{V}_m^{KdV} / \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{m}}^{KdV}. \quad (63)$$

5 Conclusions

In conclusion, we presented here an alternative approach to the description of the spectrum of the local fields in the classical limit of the 2D Integrable Field Theories. It is essentially a variant of the Classical Inverse Scattering Method and is based on the so called Dressing Symmetries. It turns out that a number of constraints or classical null vectors appear naturally in the context of this approach. We presented a systematic method for their derivation. These are due to (successive application of) the constraints and equations of motion obeyed by the asymptotic expansion of our basic objects - the transfer matrix $T(x; \lambda)$ and the resolvent $Z(x; \lambda)$.

Instead, the regular case is connected with a symmetry of Poisson–Lie type. The construction of the spectrum employing this symmetry is an interesting problem, probably connected to the BRST prescription of [18]. Another related problem is the asymptotic counterpart of the above symmetry arising from the dressing of the Chevalley generators not belonging to the Cartan subalgebra. It turns out that the resulting generators do not commute with the KdV ones but rather close a kind of spectrum generating algebra. These problems will be treated in detail in a forthcoming paper [12].

An important question is the quantization of the classical constructions presented above. We claim, in compliance with [7], that a consistent quantization procedure consists in substituting the underlying Kac-Moody algebra with the corresponding quantum

one and the fundamental mKdV field $\phi(x)$ with the Feigin-Fuchs free scalar field. As a consequence our regular Poisson–Lie symmetry turns into the well known quantum symmetry of CFT. The asymptotic construction instead should lead to a generalization of the results of [3] for cases beyond $A_1^{(1)}$.

Another open problem is the extending of our constructions out of criticality. Again, in accordance with [7], we suggest for the off-critical transfer matrix $\mathbf{T}(x, \bar{x}; \lambda; \mu) = \bar{T}(\bar{x}; \mu/\lambda)T(x; \lambda)$ where:

$$\bar{T}(\bar{x}, \lambda) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left(\lambda \int_0^{\bar{x}} dy (e^{-2\bar{\phi}(y)} E + e^{2\bar{\phi}(y)} F) \right) e^{H\bar{\phi}(\bar{x})} \quad (64)$$

and correspondingly for $\mathbf{Z}(x, \bar{x}; \mu; \lambda)$. It is clear that in this case some of their properties, in particular the equations of motion, will change. One can try to overgo this problem by following again an approach close to [17]. In particular we claim that some modification of the construction based on the extrinsic geometry of surfaces presented there will prove useful. We shall return to these problems elsewhere [13].

Acknowledgments - We thank P.E.Dorey, M. de Innocentis, A. Ganchev, V. Petkova, A. Sagnotti, G. Sotkov for discussions and interest in this work. D.F. thanks the EC Commission for financial support through TMR Contract ERBFMRXCT960012 and acknowledges I.N.F.N.-Rome (II Univ.) and the Laboratoire de Physique Mathématique (Univ. de Montpellier II) for the kind hospitality during part of this work. The same does M.S. with I.N.F.N.-Bologna.

References

- [1] A.A.Belavin, A.M.Polyakov and A.B.Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B241** (1984) 333,
- [2] R.Sasaki and I.Yamanaka, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **16** (1988) 271,
B.Feigin and E.Frenkel, Phys. Lett. **B276** (1992) 79; Lect. Notes in Math., vol.**1620**,
- [3] O.Babelon, D. Bernard, F. Smirnov, Comm. Math. Phys. **186** (1997) 601,
- [4] L.D. Faddeev, *Les Houches Lectures*. Elsevier Science Publisher (1984),
- [5] M. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. **21** (1985) 1237,
O. Babelon, D. Bernard, Comm. Math. Phys. **149** (1992) 279,
- [6] V.G.Drinfeld and V.V.Sokolov, J.Sov.Math. **30** (1984) 1975,
- [7] V.V.Bazhanov, S.L.Lukyanov and A.B.Zamolodchikov, Comm. Math. Phys. **177** (1996) 381 ,
- [8] D.Fioravanti, F.Ravanini and M.Stanishkov, Phys. Lett. **B276** (1996) 79,

- [9] J.L.Gervais, Phys. Lett. **B160** (1985) 277 and 279,
B.A.Kupershmidt and P.Mathieu, Phys. Lett. **B227** (1989) 245,
- [10] B.L. Feigin and D.B..Fuchs, in Lect. Notes in Math. , vol.**1060**,
V.Dotsenko and V.Fateev,Nucl. Phys. **B240** (1984) 312,
- [11] V.V.Bazhanov, S.L.Lukyanov and A.B.Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B489** (1997)
487 ,
- [12] D.Fioravanti, M.Stanishkov, to appear,
- [13] D.Fioravanti, M.Stanishkov, work in progress,
- [14] R.M. Miura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **15** (1968) 1202,
- [15] P.Lax, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **21** (1968) 467,
- [16] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Comm. Math. Phys. **123** (1989) 177 ,
- [17] G. Sotkov, M. Stanishkov, Nucl. Phys. **B356** (1991) 639,
- [18] G. Felder, Nucl. Phys. **B317** (1989) 215.